

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/147,919 | 03/23/1999 | MARY JANE CARDOSA | 20239-703 | 2431 |
| 7. | 590 11/25/2002 | | | |
| EMILY M HALIDAY | | | EXAMINER | |
| MCCUTCHEN DOYLE BROWN & ENERSEN THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 | | | MOSHER, MARY | |
| | | | | |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1648 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/25/2002 | 17 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

40.00

Application No.

09/147,919

Examiner

Mosher

Applicant(s)

Cardosa et al

Art Unit

1648

| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address |
|----------------------------|--|
| Therefore rejection allows | FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final on under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for nace; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. |
| | THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)] . |
| a) [| The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. |
| ь) [| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). |
| exte app set | ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate ension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The ropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |
| 1.🛛 | A Notice of Appeal was filed on $6/7/02$. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. |
| 2. 🛛 | The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: |
| (a) 🕽 | they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); |
| (b) 🖔 | they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); |
| (c) [| they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or |
| (d) [| \supset they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. |
| 1 | NOTE: <u>See attachment</u> |
| | |
| 3. 🗆 | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): |
| | |
| | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). |
| 5. 🛭 | The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are all directed to the amendment, which is denied entry. |
| 6.□ | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. |
| 7. 🛛 | For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) \square will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. |
| | The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: |
| | Claim(s) allowed: 27, 28, and 32 |
| | Claim(s) objected to: |
| | Claim(s) rejected: <u>15-26, 29-31, and 33-38</u> |
| | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: |
| 8. 🗆 | The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. |
| 9. 🗆 | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) |
| 10. C | Other: |
| | |

Art Unit: 1648

, L. P.

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment to the claims is denied entry, because the insertion of the term

"homologous" raises new issues and possibly involves new matter. The examiner has considered

carefully the teachings of page 6, the figures, and the working examples, and does not find any

blazemarks in the specification which particularly point to insertion of the type 1, 2, 3, and/or 4

homologs of the same antigen into MVA, or in any other way reasonably convey the concept of

"homologous" sequences as recited in the amendment. Furthermore, as applicant indicates in the

arguments, recombination and instability are recognized problems in poxviruses with duplicated

homologous sequences, and the instant specification provides no solutions to the recognized

problems. Therefore, even if support for the term "homologous" is ultimately found in the

specification as filed, there may be a new issue of enablement raised by the amendment.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-2926. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday and alternate Fridays from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel, can be reached on (703) 308-4027. The fax phone number for this Group is now (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

November 22, 2002

MARY E. MOSHER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800 / (CO)

Non hos